

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,251	07/30/2003	Timothy Scott Shaffer	9D-HL-25032	9392
23465 JOHN S. BEUI	7590 04/20/2007	•	EXAM	IŃER
C/O ARMSTR	ONG TEASDALE, LLP		STINSON, FRANKIE L	
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO	O 63102-2740		1746	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	SHTA	04/20/2007	. PAI	PER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/630,251	SHAFFER, TIMOTHY SCOTT					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746	<u>:</u>				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status				•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 De	ecember 2006						
,		action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienoeiti	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•					
	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.							
7) 8)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
ا ال	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 U.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (i).					
۵٫۱	<u> </u>	s have been received		•				
	and the second of the priority desarron have been recommended.							
<ul> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 5		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	,							
Attachmen		, <del>(                                   </del>						
1) 上 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/630,251

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

Page 2

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly

anticipated by UK'251 (United Kingdom 2,052,251).

Re claim 1 for example, note the UK'251 each disclose s washing machine comprising:

a tub; a sensor positioned and configured to sense a conductivity of a fluid in said tub;

and a controller operatively coupled to said sensor for controlling an amount of the fluid

in said tub based on the conductivity of the fluid (see abstract).

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 3, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

UK'251.

Claims 3 and 8 respectively define over UK'251 only in the recitation of the location of

the sensor and the length of time. Nonetheless, to have the sensor located as claimed

is deemed to be a mere rearrangement of parts. Also note that the period of 3 seconds

claimed is of little patentable weight in apparatus claims and in view of the inherent

period in UK'251.

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant's arguments filed December 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to the remarks that Buttner (UK'251) does not describe or suggest a washing machine as recited in Claim 1, specifically that Buttner does not describe nor suggest a controller configured to control an amount of the fluid in the tub during a rinse cycle based on the conductivity of the fluid measured at an end of a wash cycle, as required by Applicant's claimed invention. It should be noted that Applicant has claimed a washing machine apparatus employing an automatic controller, therefore the operational steps as claimed are of little patentable weight in that Buttner has disclose all on all of the structure and the same is capable of functioning as instantly claimed MPEP2114 APPARATUS CLAIMS MUST BE STRUCTURALLY DISTINGUISHABLE FROM THE PRIOR ART

>While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims<directed to >an< apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. >In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429,1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997) (The absence of a disclosure in a prior art reference relating to function did not defeat the Board's finding of anticipation of claimed apparatus because the limitations at issue were found to be inherent in the prior art reference); see also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d 210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971);< In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). " [A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (emphasis in original).

## MANNER OF OPERATING THE DEVICE DOES NOT DIFFERENTIATE: APPARATUS CLAIM FROM THE PRIOR ART

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987) (The preamble of claim 1 recited that the apparatus was

Application/Control Number: 10/630,251

Art Unit: 1746

Page 4

"for mixing flowing developer material" and the body of the claim recited "means for mixing ..., said mixing means being stationary and completely submerged in the developer material". The claim was rejected over a reference which taught all the structural limitations of the claim for the intended use of mixing flowing developer. However, the mixer was only partially submerged in the developer material. The Board held that the amount of submersion is immaterial to the structure of the mixer and thus the claim was properly rejected.).

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746